

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/675,047	Applicant(s) KING ET AL.	
	Examiner D. S. Nakarani	Art Unit 1773	

All Participants:

(1) D. S. Nakarani.

(2) Mr. Xavier Pillai, Attorney.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 6 January 2006

Time: 3:15 PM

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

11-25

Prior art documents discussed:

Art of record

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.


- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Mr. Xavier Pillai agreed to cancel non-elected claims 11-22, amend claims 23 and 24 to correct typographical error and cancel claim 25 to avoid rejection under 35 USC 103(a) over art of record. Non-elected claims have not been rejoined since the method of non-elected claims does not result in article of elected invention. .



D. S. NAKARANI
PRIMARY EXAMINER